

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/050,437 01/15/2002 Lawrence W. Hrubesh IL-10413 6489 EXAMINER 24981 04/19/2005 7590 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LISH, PETER J LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PO BOX 808, L-703 LIVERMORÉ, CA 94551-0808 1754

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		LT
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/050,437	HRUBESH, LAWRENCE W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter J Lish	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a constitution of the provision of the prov	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a life reply within the statutory minimum of this idod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,8 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,8, and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	 □	Current (DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/05. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/050,437

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Droege et al. (US 5,945,084).

The rejection of the previous office action is maintained in its entirety and incorporated herein by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Droege et al. (US 5,945,084).

The rejection of the previous office action is maintained in its entirety and incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 1, 4, 8, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekala et al. (US 5,932,185) in view of Kaschmitter et al (US 5,260,855).

The rejection of the previous office action is maintained in its entirety and incorporated herein by reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant relies upon arguments that the rejections of the previous office action do not yield a "monolithic" composite. While the term monolithic is supported in the specification, the attributes which the applicant attempts to bestow to the term are not supported by the original disclosure. The specification states that a monolithic material without cracks is formed due to pyrolysis. This is in accordance with the definition of monolithic in the dictionary, which defines monolithic as a rigid, uniform whole. The applicant's new arguments which call for conductance and specific pore sizes being required in a monolithic material are not supported by the original disclosure and represent new matter.

Furthermore, if supercritical drying is essential to the formation of a monolithic material, as presently argued, it is unclear as to how the applicants obtained monolithic materials while using evaporative drying, as is supported by the specification.

At any rate, neither supercritical drying nor a specific pore size are claimed by the applicant and it remains that no difference is seen between the processes applied in the rejections of the previous office action and the instantly claimed process.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/050,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 571-272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

PL

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700